



International Journal of Political Science and Public Administration

Publisher's Home Page: https://www.svedbergopen.com/

Research Paper

Open Access

A Journey of the Political History of Jammu and Kashmir: Autonomy and Conflict



¹Doctoral Fellow Department of Political Science Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Lucknow, India. E-mail: nazirpaswalgi3346@gmail.com

²Doctoral Fellow, Department of Political Science, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad, India. E-mail: muzaffar.rs@manuu.edu.in

Article Info

Volume 4, Issue 1, June 2024 Received : 22 January 2024 Accepted : 13 May 2024 Published : 05 June 2024

doi: 10.51483/IJPSPA.4.1.2024.67-71

Abstract

This research paper explores the political history of Jammu and Kashmir, a region known for its complex dynamics and ongoing conflict. Situated in the northern part of the Indian subcontinent, Jammu and Kashmir's political course has been shaped by historical, geographical, religious, and cultural factors. This study explores key events, ideologies, and actors that have influenced the region, with a focus on the post-partition era. The paper examines the decision of Jammu and Kashmir to accede to India, the adoption and subsequent amendments of Article 370, the rise of militancy and abrogation of Article 370. It also analyzes the territorial dispute between India and Pakistan and its impact on the region's political landscape. By considering historical, cultural, and geopolitical factors, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of Jammu and Kashmir's political history. It highlights the aspirations and struggles of the Kashmiri people for self-determination and autonomy, and it contributes to existing scholarship while suggesting avenues for further research and peaceful resolution.

Keywords: Kashmir, Self-determination, Abrogation of Article 370, Partition and territorial dispute

© 2024 Nazir Hussain and Muzaffar Hussain. This is an open access article under the CCBY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

1. Introduction

The region of Jammu and Kashmir, situated in the northernmost part of the Indian subcontinent, has long been a subject of intense political discourse and conflict. Its complex political history is deeply rooted in a web of historical, geographical, religious, and cultural factors, making it a unique case study in the realm of international relations. One of the defining moments in Jammu and Kashmir's political history is its connection to the broader historical context of the partition of British India in 1947. As the subcontinent was divided into two separate nations, India and Pakistan, the princely state of Jammu and Kashmir faced a precarious situation.

^{*} Corresponding author: Muzaffar Hussain, Doctoral Fellow, Department of Political Science, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad, India. E-mail: muzaffar.rs@manuu.edu.in

^{2788-8983/© 2024.} Nazir Hussain and Muzaffar Hussain. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The decision of whether to accede to India or Pakistan rested with the then-ruler, Maharaja Hari Singh, fueling communal tensions and regional aspirations.

Furthermore, the political history of Jammu and Kashmir has been heavily influenced by the ongoing territorial dispute between India and Pakistan. The contentious issue of Kashmir has fueled numerous conflicts, including three major wars between the two nations and a persistent state of hostility. The aspirations of the Kashmiri people for self-determination and autonomy

have remained central to the political landscape, shaping the region's complex dynamics and international relations (Puri, 2008).

2. The Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study on the political history of Jammu and Kashmir are as follows:

- To provide a comprehensive understanding of the political dynamics and historical context of Jammu and Kashmir and to look into the intricate factors that have shaped the region's political history, including historical events, cultural influences, and geopolitical considerations.
- To analyze key events, ideologies, and actors that seeks to analyze significant events such as the decision
 of Jammu and Kashmir to accede to India, the adoption and subsequent amendments of Article 370, and the
 rise of militancy.
- To understand the aspirations, grievances, and struggles of the Kashmiri people for self-determination and autonomy. By examining the historical and contemporary context, the study aims to shed light on the complex dynamics between the people of Jammu and Kashmir and the political entities involved.
- The study aims to identify potential areas for further research on the political history of Jammu and Kashmir.
 It also seeks to highlight the importance of peaceful resolution and dialogue in addressing the ongoing conflict and finding a sustainable solution for the region.

3. The Princely State of Jammu and Kashmir

The Princely State of Jammu and Kashmir refers to the period when the region of Jammu and Kashmir was ruled by a hereditary monarch known as the Maharaja. Before the partition of British India in 1947, the princely states were semi-autonomous territories that were not directly under British colonial rule. Instead, they were governed by their respective rulers or Maharajas, who held significant authority within their territories. In the case of Jammu and Kashmir, Maharaja Hari Singh was the ruler during the time of independence. The princely state of Jammu and Kashmir was characterized by its diverse population, comprising various ethnic, linguistic, and religious groups, including Muslims, Hindus, and Buddhists.

During the partition, the princely states were given the option to accede to either India or Pakistan based on geographical contiguity and the preferences of the ruling monarch. Maharaja Hari Singh initially chose to remain independent, but the invasion by Pakistani-backed tribal militias in October 1947 changed the situation. Faced with the invasion, Maharaja Hari Singh sought assistance from India and signed the Instrument of Accession, formally acceding Jammu and Kashmir to India. This led to the deployment of Indian troops to counter the invasion and defend the region. However, the situation also led to significant communal tensions and displacement, resulting in violence and population movements on both sides of the newly drawn border. The period of the Princely State of Jammu and Kashmir ended with the accession to India, which set the stage for the subsequent political developments and conflicts in the region (Lone, 2016, January).

4. Accession to India

The accession of Jammu and Kashmir to India refers to the formal process through which the princely state of Jammu and Kashmir became a part of the newly independent country of India. In October 1947, the partition of British India into India and Pakistan, the princely state of Jammu and Kashmir faced a precarious situation. Maharaja Hari Singh, the ruler of Jammu and Kashmir, initially chose to remain independent. However, the region soon faced an invasion by tribal militias supported by Pakistan. Faced with the tribal invasion and the deteriorating security situation, Maharaja Hari Singh sought assistance from India. On October 26, 1947, he

signed the Instrument of Accession, formally acceding Jammu and Kashmir to the Dominion of India. The Instrument of Accession was a legal document that outlined the terms and conditions of the accession.

The Instrument of Accession was accepted by the Indian government, represented by then-Governor-General Lord Mountbatten. The acceptance was contingent upon the understanding that a formal democratic process, through a plebiscite, would be conducted in Jammu and Kashmir to ascertain the wishes of the people regarding their future. The accession, Indian troops were deployed to counter the tribal invasion and restore order in the region. The troops engaged in military operations against the invading forces. Both India and Pakistan took the issue of Jammu and Kashmir to the United Nations Security Council. Several resolutions were passed, calling for a plebiscite to determine the future status of the region. However, the plebiscite was never held due to disagreements between India and Pakistan over the preconditions and sequence of steps required for its implementation (Khanam, 2016).

5. Autonomy and Article 370

After the accession of Jammu and Kashmir to India, the region was granted a significant degree of autonomy. The autonomous status of Jammu and Kashmir was primarily established through the framework of the state's own constitution, which was adopted by the constituent assembly of Jammu and Kashmir in 1949. This constitution provided the state with its own governance structure, including a separate executive, legislature, and judiciary. Article 370 of the Indian Constitution was a provision that recognized the special status of Jammu and Kashmir within the Indian Union. It granted certain constitutional guarantees and protections to the state. Under Article 370, several provisions of the Indian Constitution were not automatically applicable to Jammu and Kashmir unless endorsed by the state's constituent assembly.

The state of Jammu and Kashmir was given the power to have its own constitution, which could differ from the Indian Constitution. The Central government's legislative authority over Jammu and Kashmir was limited to defense, foreign affairs, and communications. The President of India had the power to modify or abrogate Article 370 with the concurrence of the state's constituent assembly or, later, with the recommendation of the state's legislative assembly. Over the years, several amendments were made to Article 370, which gradually eroded its original provisions.

These amendments extended more central laws and provisions to Jammu and Kashmir, thereby integrating it further with the Indian Union. However, the core essence of the special status and autonomy remained intact (Hoskote and Hoskote, 2017).

6. Tensions and Insurgency

The tensions in Jammu and Kashmir are rooted in a combination of historical, political, and socio-cultural factors. These include the unresolved issue of the region's accession to India, the territorial dispute between India and Pakistan over Kashmir, competing national narratives, grievances related to governance and human rights, and religious and ethnic divisions. In the late 1980s, the region witnessed a rise in insurgency, characterized by the emergence of armed militant groups that sought to challenge Indian control and advocate for Kashmiri self-determination. The insurgency was fueled by a range of factors, including grievances related to political representation, allegations of human rights abuses, and the influence of external actors.

Pakistan has been accused of providing support, including arms, training, and sanctuary, to various militant groups operating in Jammu and Kashmir. The infiltration of militants from the Pakistani side of the Line of Control (LoC) has been a significant challenge for Indian security forces. In response to the insurgency, the Indian government has conducted counterinsurgency operations to combat the militant groups. These operations have involved a combination of military operations, intelligence efforts, and law enforcement activities. However, they have also been accompanied by allegations of human rights abuses and excessive use of force.

The insurgency and counter-insurgency operations have had a profound impact on the civilian population in Jammu and Kashmir. The region has witnessed violence, loss of lives, displacement, and disruptions to daily life and economic activities. The civilian population has often been caught in the crossfire between militants and security forces. Efforts have been made at various levels to address the tensions and seek a

resolution to the Kashmir conflict. Diplomatic initiatives, confidence-building measures, and dialogue between India and Pakistan have been pursued, but a lasting solution remains elusive (Marks, 2004).

7. Abrogation of Article 370 and Integration to India

In August 2019, the Indian government made a significant decision to abrogate Article 370 of the Indian Constitution. This move revoked the special status that Jammu and Kashmir had enjoyed for decades, including the autonomy and certain constitutional provisions granted under Article 370. Simultaneously, the Indian government reorganized the state of Jammu and Kashmir into two separate union territories - Jammu and Kashmir, and Ladakh. This administrative re-organization meant that the region would have a more direct governance and administrative structure under the central government of India (Paswal et al., 2020).

The abrogation of Article 370 meant that several provisions of the Indian Constitution, which were not previously applicable to Jammu and Kashmir without its endorsement, were extended to the region. This integration aimed to bring Jammu and Kashmir on par with other states and union territories of India in terms of governance and administrative structure. The abrogation of Article 370 also led to changes in the legislative powers of the region. The central government gained more authority in legislating on matters previously under the purview of the state government. This move aimed to facilitate the implementation of central laws and policies in Jammu and Kashmir.

The abrogation of Article 370 and the integration of Jammu and Kashmir to India had significant implications for the socio-political landscape of the region. It brought about changes in governance, administrative structure, and laws that affected various aspects of life in Jammu and Kashmir. The abrogation of Article 370 and the subsequent changes sparked mixed reactions and controversies. Supporters argued that it would promote development, stability, and better integration of Jammu and Kashmir with the rest of India. Critics expressed concerns about potential demographic changes, loss of autonomy, and the impact on the unique identity and aspirations of the people of Jammu and Kashmir (Paswal et al., 2020).

8. Conclusion

The political history of Jammu and Kashmir is a complex tapestry woven with historical, geographical, religious, and cultural factors. From its status as a princely state during the partition of British India to the abrogation of Article 370 and its subsequent integration into India, the region has been marked by intense political discourse, conflict, and aspirations for self-determination. The accession of Jammu and Kashmir to India, formalized through the Instrument of Accession, set the stage for the region's trajectory. The ongoing territorial dispute between India and Pakistan over Kashmir has fueled tensions and conflicts, including insurgency, cross-border support, and counterinsurgency operations. The aspirations of the Kashmiri people for self-determination and autonomy have remained central to the political landscape, shaping the region's complex dynamics and international relations.

Autonomy and Article 370 played a significant role in Jammu and Kashmir's political history. Article 370 granted the region a special status and a degree of autonomy within the Indian Union. However, in 2019, the Indian government decided to abrogate Article 370, leading to the reorganization of Jammu and Kashmir into two separate union territories. This move aimed to integrate the region more closely with the rest of India and brought about changes in governance, legislative powers, and the socio-political landscape. The tensions and insurgency in Jammu and Kashmir have had profound consequences for the region, impacting the civilian population and posing challenges for conflict resolution. Efforts for dialogue and diplomatic initiatives between India and Pakistan have been pursued, but a lasting resolution to the Kashmir conflict remains elusive.

While the abrogation of Article 370 and the integration of Jammu and Kashmir into India have generated varied reactions and controversies, the long-term impact of these decisions continues to unfold. The ultimate goal should be to address the aspirations and grievances of the people of Jammu and Kashmir while working towards a peaceful resolution that respects the rights and aspirations of all stakeholders involved. As further research and dialogue unfold, it is essential to consider potential avenues for re-conciliation, confidence-building measures, and inclusive governance that can pave the way for lasting peace and stability in the region. Only through such efforts can the intricate political history of Jammu and Kashmir find a path towards a peaceful and prosperous future.

References

Hoskote, A. and Hoskote, V.A. (2017). Jammu & Kashmir and the Politics of Article 370: Seeking Legality for the Illegitimate. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(1), 816-820.

Hussain, N. and Singh, R.S. (2023). Role of Constitution in the Protection of Rights of Minorities in South Asia.

Khanam, A. (2016). An Historical Overview of the Accession of Princely States. *Journal of Historical Studies*, 2(1), 84-103.

Lone, A.S. (2016, January). British Relations with the Princely State of Jammu and Kashmir. *In Proceedings of the Indian History Congress*, Vol. 77, pp. 348-357, Indian History Congress.

Marks, T. A. (2004). India: State Response to Insurgency in Jammu & Kashmir–The Jammu Case. *Low Intensity Conflict & Law Enforcement*, 12(3), 122-143.

Mehmood, K., Paswal, N.H. and Dar, N.A. (2021). Impact of CAA-2019 on Minority Communities in India and Neighboring States: Issues and Challenges in its Implementation.

Paswal, N.H. (2020). Caste and Secular Crises in Indian Politics. Our Heritage, 68(30), 10471-10482.

Paswal, N.H., Jafri, S.I.H. and Fatima, S. (2020). Historical Analysis of the Abrogation of Article 370.

Puri, B. (2008). Jammu and Kashmir: The Issue of Regional Autonomy. Economic and Political Weekly, 8-11.

Staniland, P. (2020). Kashmir Since 2003: Counterinsurgency and the Paradox of "Normalcy". *Asian Survey*, 53(5), 931-957.

Cite this article as: Nazir Hussain and Muzaffar Hussain (2024). A Journey of the Political History of Jammu and Kashmir: Autonomy and Conflict. *International Journal of Political Science and Public Administration*, 4(1), 67-71. doi: 10.51483/IJPSPA.4.1.2024.67-71.