Henry Garrett / Int.J.Pure&App.Math.Res. 3(2) (2023) 102-124

https://doi.org/10.51483/IJPAMR.3.2.2023.102-124

ISSN: 2789-9160



New Ideas in Recognition of Cancer and Neutrosophic Super Hyper Graph by Eulerian-Path-Cut as Hyper Eulogy-Path-Cut on Super EULA-Path-Cut

Henry Garrett^{1*}

Independent Researcher, Department of Mathematics, Manhattan, NY, USA. E-mail: DrHenryGarrett@gmail.com

Abstract

Article Info

Volume 3, Issue 2, October 2023 Received : 21 June 2023 Accepted : 19 September 2023 Published : 05 October 2023 *doi: 10.51483/IJPAMR.3.2.2023.102-124*

In this scientific research, some extreme notions and Neutrosophic notions are defined on the family of SuperHyperGraphs and Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs. Some well-known classes are used in this scientific research. A basic familiarity with Neutrosophic SuperHyper Eulerian-Path-Cut theory, SuperHyperGraphs, and Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs theory are proposed.

Keywords: Neutrosophic super hyper graph, Super hyper Eulerian-Path-Cut, Cancer's neutrosophic recognition

© 2023 Henry Garrett. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

1. Neutrosophic Preliminaries of this Scientific Research on the Redeemed Ways

In this section, the basic material in this scientific research, is referred to [Single Valued Neutrosophic Set] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 2.2, p. 2), [Neutrosophic Set] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 1.1, p. 1), [Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG)] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 2.5, p. 2), [Characterization of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG)] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 2.7, p. 3), [t-norm]((Henry, 2023), Definition 2.7, p. 3), and [Characterization of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG)] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 2.7, p. 3), [t-norm]((Henry, 2023), Definition 2.7, p. 3), [Neutrosophic Strength of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG)] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 2.7, p. 3), [Neutrosophic Strength of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperPaths] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 5.3, p. 7), and [Different Neutrosophic Types of Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdges (NSHE)] ((Henry, 2023), Definition 5.4, p. 7). Also, the new ideas and their clarifications are addressed to Henry (2023).

Definition 1.1

Different Neutrosophic Types of Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider a Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet $V = V_1, V_2, ..., V_s$ and $E = E_1, E_2, ..., E_s$. Then either V' or E' is called

2789-9160/© 2023. Henry Garrett. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Corresponding author: Henry Garrett, Independent Researcher, Department of Mathematics, Manhattan, NY, USA. E-mail: DrHenryGarrett@gmail.com

(i) Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if the following expression is called Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut criteria holds

 $\forall E_a \in P : P$ is a SuperHyperPath and it has all number of SuperHyperEdges;

(ii) Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if the following expression is called Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut criteria holds:

 $\forall E_a \in P : P$ is a SuperHyperPath and it has the all number of SuperHyperEdges;

and $|E_i|_{\text{NEUTROSOPIC CARDINALITY}} = |E_i|_{\text{NEUTROSOPIC CARDINALITY}}$;

(iii) Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if the following expression is called Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut criteria holds:

 $\forall V_a \in P : P$ is a SuperHyperPath and it has the all number of SuperHyperEdges;

(iv) Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if the following expression is called Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut criteria holds:

 $\forall V_a \in P : P$ is a SuperHyperPath and it has the all number of SuperHyperEdges;

and $|V_i|_{\text{NEUTROSOPIC CARDINALITY}} = |V_j|_{\text{NEUTROSOPIC CARDINALITY}}$;

(v) Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if it's either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.

Definition 1.2

(Neutrosophic) SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider a Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdge (NSHE) $E = \{V_{v_1}, V_{v_2}, \dots, V_{v_s}\}$. Then E is called

- (i) An Extreme SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if it is either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for an Extreme SuperHyperGraph NSHG : (V, E) is the maximum Extreme cardinality of an Extreme SuperHyperSet S of high Extreme cardinality of the Extreme SuperHyperEdges in the conseNeighborive Extreme sequence of Extreme SuperHyperEdges and Extreme SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Extreme SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut;
- (ii) A Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if it's either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph NSHG: (V, E) is the maximum Neutrosophic cardinality of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdges of a Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet S of high Neutrosophic cardinality conseNeighborive Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdges and Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut;
- (iii) An Extreme SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial if it is either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for an Extreme SuperHyperGraph NSHG : (V, E) is the Extreme SuperHyperPolynomial contains the Extreme coefficients defined as the Extreme number of the maximum Extreme cardinality of the Extreme SuperHyperEdges of an Extreme SuperHyperSet S of high Extreme cardinality conseNeighborive Extreme SuperHyperEdges and Extreme SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Extreme SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut; and the Extreme power is corresponded to its Extreme coefficient;
- (iv) A Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial if it is either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for a Neutrosophic

SuperHyperGraph NSHG: (V, E) is the Neutrosophic SuperHyperPolynomial contains the Neutrosophic coefficients defined as the Neutrosophic number of the maximum Neutrosophic cardinality of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdges of a Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet S of high Neutrosophic cardinality conseNeighborive Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdges and Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut; and the Neutrosophic power is corresponded to its Neutrosophic coefficient;

- (v) An Extreme V-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if it is either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for an Extreme SuperHyperGraph NSHG : (V, E) is the maximum Extreme cardinality of an Extreme SuperHyperSet S of high Extreme cardinality of the Extreme SuperHyperVertices in the conseNeighborive Extreme sequence of Extreme SuperHyperEdges and Extreme SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Extreme SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut;
- (vi) A Neutrosophic V-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut if it is either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph NSHG : (V, E) is the maximum Neutrosophic cardinality of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices of a Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet S of high Neutrosophic cardinality conseNeighborive Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdges and Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut;
- (vii) An Extreme V-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial if it's either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for an Extreme SuperHyperGraph NSHG : (V, E) is the Extreme SuperHyperPolynomial contains the Extreme coefficients defined as the Extreme number of the maximum Extreme cardinality of the Extreme SuperHyperVertices of an Extreme SuperHyperSet S of high Extreme cardinality conseNeighborive Extreme SuperHyperEdges and Extreme SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Extreme SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut; and the Extreme power is corresponded to its Extreme coefficient;
- (viii) A Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial if it's either of Neutrosophic e-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic re-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, Neutrosophic v-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and Neutrosophic rv-SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut and (NSHG) for a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph NSHG : (V, E) is the Neutrosophic SuperHyperPolynomial contains the Neutrosophic coefficients defined as the Neutrosophic number of the maximum Neutrosophic cardinality of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices of a Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet S of high Neutrosophic cardinality conseNeighborive Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdges and Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices such that they form the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut; and the Neutrosophic power is corresponded to its Neutrosophic coefficient.

2. Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut but as the Extensions Excerpt from Dense and Super Forms

Definition 2.1.: Neutrosophic Event

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Any Neutrosophic k-subset of A of V is called Neutrosophic k-event and if k = 2, then Neutrosophic subset of A of V is called Neutrosophic event. The following expression is called Neutrosophic probability of A.

$$E(A) = \sum_{a \in A} E(a) \qquad \dots (1)$$

Definition 2.2.: Neutrosophic Independent

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. s Neutrosophic k-events A_i $i \in I$ is called Neutrosophic s-independent if the following expression is called Neutrosophic s-independent criteria

$$E\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right) = \sum_{i\in I}P(A_i)$$

And if s = 2, then Neutrosophic k-events of A and B is called Neutrosophic independent. The following expression is called Neutrosophic independent criteria

$$E(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) \tag{2}$$

Definition 2.3.: Neutrosophic Variable

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) *S* is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Any *k*-function Eulerian-Path-Cut like *E* is called Neutrosophic *k*-Variable. If k = 2, then any 2-function Eulerian-Path-Cut like *E* is called Neutrosophic Variable.

The notion of independent on Neutrosophic Variable is likewise.

Definition 2.4.: Neutrosophic Expectation

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) *S* is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. A Neutrosophic k-Variable *E* has a number is called Neutrosophic Expectation if the following expression is called Neutrosophic Expectation criteria.

$$Ex(E)\sum_{\alpha\in V}E(\alpha)P(\alpha)$$

Definition 2.5.: Neutrosophic Crossing

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. A Neutrosophic number is called Neutrosophic Crossing if the following expression is called Neutrosophic Crossing criteria

 $Cr(S) = \min\{\text{Number of Crossing in a Plane Embedding of } S\}.$

Lemma 2.6.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let m and n propose special Eulerian-Path-Cut. Then with $m \ge 4n$.

Proof: Consider a planar embedding G of G with cr(G) crossings. Let S be a Neutrosophic random k-subset of V obtained by choosing each SuperHyperVertex of G Neutrosophic independently with probability Eulerian-Path-Cut p := 4n/m, and set H := G[S] and H := G[S].

Define random variables X, Y, Z on V as follows: X is the Neutrosophic number of SuperHyperVertices, Y is the Neutrosophic number of SuperHyperEdges, and Z is the Neutrosophic number of crossings of H. The trivial bound noted above, when applied to H, yields the inequality $Z \ge cr(H) \ge Y - 3X$. By linearity of Neutrosophic Expectation,

 $E(Z) \ge E(Y) - 3E(X).$

Now E(X) = pn, $E(Y) = p^2m$ (each SuperHyperEdge having some SuperHyperEnds) and $E(Z) = p^4cr(G)$ (each crossing being defined by some SuperHyperVertices). Hence

 $p^4 cr(G) \ge p^2 m - 3pn$

Dividing both sides by p^4 , we have:

$$cr(G) \ge \frac{pm-3n}{p^3} = \frac{n}{(4n/m)^3} = \frac{1}{64}m^3n^2$$

Theorem 2.7.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let P be a SuperHyperSet of n points in the plane, and let l be the Neutrosophic number of SuperHyper Lines in the plane passing through at least k + 1 of these points, where $1 \le k \le 2$, 2n. Then $l < 32n^2/k^3$.

Proof: Form a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph *G* with SuperHyperVertex SuperHyperSet *P* whose SuperHyperEdge are the segments between conseNeighborive points on the SuperHyperLines which pass through at least k + 1 points of *P*. This Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph has at least kl SuperHyperEdges and Neutrosophic crossing at most *l* choose two. Thus either kl < 4n, in which case $l < 4n/k \le 32n^2/k^3$, or $l^2/2 > 1$ choose $2 \ge cr(G) \le (kl)^3/64n^2$ by the Neutrosophic Crossing Lemma, and again $l < 32n^2/k^3$.

Theorem 2.8.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let P be a SuperHyperSet of n points in the plane, and let k be the number of pairs of points of P at unit SuperHyperDistance. Then $k < 5n^{4/3}$.

Proof: Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Draw a SuperHyperUnit SuperHyperCircle around each SuperHyperPoint of P. Let n_i be the Neutrosophic number of these SuperHyperCircles passing through exactly *i* points of P. Then

$$\sum i = 0^{n-1} n_i = n$$
 and $k = \frac{1}{2} \sum i = 0^{n-1} i n_i$

SuperHyperGraph H with SuperHyperVertex SuperHyperSet P whose SuperHyperEdges are the SuperHyperArcs between conseNeighborive SuperHyperPoints on the SuperHyperCircles that pass through at least three SuperHyperPoints of P. Then

$$e(H) = \sum_{i=3}^{n=1} in_i = 2k - n_1 - 2n_2 \ge 2k - 2n$$

Some SuperHyperPairs of SuperHyperVertices of *H* might be joined by some parallel SuperHyperEdges. Delete from *H* one of each SuperHyperPair of parallel SuperHyperEdges, so as to obtain a simple Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph *G* with $e(G) \ge k - n$. Now $cr(G) \le n(n - 1)$ because *G* is formed from at most n SuperHyperCircles, and any two SuperHyperCircles cross at most twice. Thus either e(G) < 4n, in which case $k < 5n < 5n^{4/3}$, or $n^2 > n(n - 1) \ge cr(G) \ge (k - n)^3/64n^2$ by the Neutrosophic Crossing Lemma, and $k < 4n^{4/3} + n < 5n^{4/3}$.

Proposition 2.9.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let X be a nonnegative Neutrosophic Variable and t a positive real number. Then

$$P(X \ge t) \le \frac{E(X)}{t}$$

Proof:

$$E(X) = \sum \{X(a)P(a): a \in V\} \ge \sum \{X(a)P(a): a \in V, X(a) \ge t\}$$
$$\sum \{tP(a): a \in V, X(a) \ge t\} = t$$
$$\sum \{P(a): a \in V, X(a) \ge t\}$$
$$tP(X \ge t)$$

Dividing the first and last members by *t* yields the asserted inequality.

Corollary 2.10.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let X_n be a nonnegative integer-valued variable in a prob-ability Eulerian-Path-Cut (V_n, E_n) , $n \ge 1$. If $E(X_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $P(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.11.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. A special SuperHyperGraph in G_{np} almost surely has stability number at most $[2p^{-1} \log n]$.

Proof: Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) *S* is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. A special SuperHyperGraph in $G_{n,p}$ is up. Let $G \in G_{n,p}$ and let *S* be a given SuperHyperSet of k + 1 SuperHyperVertices of *G*, where $k \in N$. The probability that *S* is a stable SuperHyperSet of *G* is $(1 - p)^{(k+1)choose2}$, this being the probability that none of the (k + 1)choose2 pairs of SuperHyperVertices of *S* is a SuperHyperEdge of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph *G*

Let A_s denote the event that S is a stable SuperHyperSet of G and let X_s denote the indicator Neutrosophic Variable for this Neutrosophic Event. By equation, we have

$$E(X_s) = P(X_s = 1) = P(A_s) = (1-p)^{(k+1)\text{choose2}}$$

Let X be the number of stable SuperHyperSets of cardinality k + 1 in G. Then

$$X = \sum \left\{ X_s : S \subseteq V, \left| S \right| = k + 1 \right\}$$

and so, by those,

$$E(X) = \sum \{ E(X_s) : S \subseteq V, |S| = k+1 \} = (n \text{ choose } k+1)(1-p)^{(k+1)choose 2}$$

We bound the right-hand side by invoking two elementary inequalities:

$$(n \text{ choose } k+1) \leq \frac{n^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \text{ and } 1-p \leq e^{-p}$$

This yields the following upper bound on E(X).

$$E(X) \le \frac{n^{k+1}e^{-p(k+1)choose2}}{(k+1)!} = \frac{ne^{-pk/2k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

Suppose now that $k = [2p^{-1} \log n]$. Then $k \ge 2p^{-1} \log n$, so $ne^{-pk^2} \le 1$. Because k grows at least as fast as the logarithm of n, implies that $E(X) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Because X is integer-valued and nonnegative, we deduce from Corollary that $P(X=0) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Consequently, a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph in $C_{n,p}$ almost surely has stability number at most k.

Definition 2.12.: Neutrosophic Variance

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. A Neutrosophic k-Variable E has a number is called Neutrosophic Variance if the following expression is called Neutrosophic Variance criteria.

$$Vx(E) = Ex((X - Ex(X))^2).$$

Theorem 2.13.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let X be a Neutrosophic Variable and let t be a positive real number. Then

$$E\left(\left|X - Ex(X)\right| \ge t\right) \le \frac{V(X)}{t^2}$$

Proof: Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let X be a Neutrosophic Variable and let t be a positive real number. Then

$$E\left(\left|X - Ex(X)\right| \ge t\right) = E\left(\left(X - Ex(X)\right)^2 \ge t^2\right) \le \frac{Ex\left(\left(X - Ex(X)\right)^2\right)}{t^2} = \frac{V(X)}{t^2}$$

Corollary 2.14.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let X_n be a Neutrosophic Variable in a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut (V_n, E_n) , $n \ge 1$. If $Ex(X_n) = 0$ and $V(X_n)$ chk / ch

 $E(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

Proof: Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) *S* is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Set $X := X_n$ and $t := |Ex(X_n)|$ in Chebyshev's Inequality, and observe that $E(X_n = 0) \le E(|X_n - Ex(X_n)| \ge |Ex(X_n)|)$ because $|X_n - Ex(X_n)| = |Ex(X_n)|$ when $X_n = 0$.

Theorem 2.15.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let $G \in G_{n,12}$. For $0 \le k \le n$, set $f(k) := (n \text{ choose } k)^{2-(k \text{ choose } 2)}$ and let k be the least value of k for which f(k) is less than one. Then almost surely $\alpha(G)$ takes one of the three values $k^* - 2$, $k^* - 1$, k^* .

Proof: Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. As in the proof of related. Theorem, the result is straightforward.

Corollary 2.16.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let $G \in G_{n,1/2}$ and let f and k^* be as defined in previous Theorem. Then either:

- (i) $f(k^*) \le 1$, in which case almost surely $\alpha(G)$ is equal to either $k^* 2$ or $k^* 1$, or
- (ii) $f(k^*-1) >> 1$, in which case almost surely $\alpha(G)$ is equal to either k^*-1 or k^* .

Proof: Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward.

Definition 2.17.: Neutrosophic Threshold

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let P be a monotone property of SuperHyperGraphs (one which is preserved when SuperHyperEdges are added). Then a Neutrosophic Threshold for P is a function f(n) such that:

- (i) If $p \le f(n)$, then $G \in G_{n,n}$ almost surely does not have P,
- (ii) If p >> f(n), then $G \in G_{n,p}$ almost surely has P.

Definition 2.18.: Neutrosophic Balanced

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let F be a fixed Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph. Then there is a threshold function for the property of containing a copy of F as a Neutrosophic SubSuperHyperGraph is called Neutrosophic Balanced.

Theorem 2.19.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. Let F be a nonempty balanced Neutrosophic SubSuperHyperGraph with k SuperHyperVertices and l SuperHyperEdges. Then $n^{-k/l}$ is a threshold function for the property of containing F as a Neutrosophic SubSuperHyperGraph.

Proof: Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E). Consider S = (V, E) is a probability Eulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward.

Example 2.20.

Assume a Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG) S is a pair S = (V, E) in the mentioned Neutrosophic Figures in every Neutrosophic items.



• On Figure 1, the Neutrosophic SuperHyperNotion, namely, Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, is up. The Neutrosophic Algorithm is Neutrosophicly straightforward.

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}} \\ &= \{E_4, E_5, E_1, E_2\}. \\ & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}} \\ &= 2z^5 + 2z^3. \\ & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}} \\ &= \{V_i \in V_{NSHG}\}. \\ & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}} \end{split}$$

 $= \sum z |Vi \in VNSHG|.$



• On Figure 2, the Neutrosophic SuperHyperNotion, namely, Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, is up. The Neutrosophic Algorithm is Neutrosophicly straightforward.

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$

On the Figure 3, the Neutrosophic SuperHyperNotion, namely, Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, is up. The Neutrosophic Algorithm is Neutrosophicly straightforward.

```
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}
= \{\}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}
= 0z^{0}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}
= \{\}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}
= 0z^{0}.
```

On the Figure 4, the Neutrosophic SuperHyperNotion, namely, Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, is up. The Neutrosophic Algorithm is Neutrosophicly straightforward.





Figure 4: The Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs Associated to the Neutrosophic Notions of Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut in the Neutrosophic Example (3.20)

$$= \{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}, E_{4}, E_{5}\}.$$

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$

$$=\sum_{z} \left| E_i \in E_{NSHG} \right|$$

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}$

$$= \{ V_i \in V_{NSHG} \}.$$

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$

$$= \sum_{Z} \left| V_i \in V_{NSHG} \right|$$



• On Figure 5, the Neutrosophic SuperHyperNotion, namely, Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, is up. The Neutrosophic Algorithm is Neutrosophicly straightforward.

```
\begin{split} & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}} \\ &= \{\}. \\ & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}} \\ &= 0z^0. \\ & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}} \\ &= \{\}. \\ & \mathsf{C}(NSHG)_{\text{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}} \\ &= 0z^0. \end{split}
```

•

On Figure 6, the Neutrosophic SuperHyperNotion, namely, Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, is up. The Neutrosophic Algorithm is Neutrosophicly straightforward.

```
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}
= \{\}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}
= 0z^{0}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}
= \{\}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}
= 0z^{0}.
```

• On Figure 7, the Neutrosophic SuperHyperNotion, namely, Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut, is up. The Neutrosophic Algorithm is Neutrosophicly straightforward.





Figure 7: The Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs Associated to the Neutrosophic Notions of Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut in the Neutrosophic Example (3.20)

$$= \{ E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5 \}.$$

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$

$$= \sum_{Z} \left| E_i \in E_{NSHG} \right|$$

C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}

$$= \{ V_i \in V_{NSHG} \}.$$

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$

$$= \sum_{Z} \left| V_i \in V_{NSHG} \right|$$

3. The Neutrosophic Departures on the Theoretical Results Toward Theoretical Motivations

The previous Neutrosophic approach apply on the upcoming Neutrosophic results on Neutrosophic SuperHyperClasses.

Proposition 3.1.

Assume a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperPath ESHP : (V, E).

Then

C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}

$$= \{E_i \in E_{NSHG}\}.$$

C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic} Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial

$$= \sum_{Z} \left| E_i \in E_{NSHG} \right|$$

C(NSHG)Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut

$$= \{ V_i \in V_{NSHG} \}.$$

C(NSHG)Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial

$$= \sum_{Z} \left| V_i \in V_{NSHG} \right|$$

Proof: Let

P: $V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$ $V_2^{EXTERNAL}, E_2$ $\dots,$ $V_{|E_{NSHG}|}^{EXTERNAL}, E_{|NSHG|}$ P: $E_1, V_1^{EXTERNAL}$ $E_2, V_2^{EXTERNAL}$ $\dots,$ $E_{|NSHG|}, V_{|E_{NSHG}|}^{EXTERNAL}$

be a longest path taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperPath *ESHP* : (*V*, *E*). There's a new way to redefine as

$$\begin{split} &V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \sim V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} = \\ &\exists ! E_z \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, \, V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, \, V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \in E_z \equiv \\ &\exists ! E_z \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, \, \left\{ V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, \, V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \right\} \subseteq E_z \end{split}$$

The term "EXTERNAL" implies $|N(V_i^{EXTERNAL})| \ge |N(V_j)|$ where V_j is corresponded to $V_i^{EXTERNAL}$ in the literatures of SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward.

Example 3.2.

In Figure 8, the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperPath ESHP: (V, E), is highlighted and featured. The Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet, in the Neutrosophic SuperHyperModel (8), is the SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.



Figure 8: A Neutrosophic SuperHyperPath Associated to the Notions of Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut in the Example (4.2)

Proposition 3.3.

Assume a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperCycle ESHC : (V, E).

Then

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ C(NSHG)

C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic} V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial

 $=0z^{0}$.

Proof: Let

P: $V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$ $V_2^{EXTERNAL}, E_2$ $\dots,$ $V_{|E_{NSHG}|}^{EXTERNAL}, E_{|NSHG|}$ P: $E_1, V_1^{EXTERNAL}$ $E_2, V_2^{EXTERNAL}$ $\dots,$ $E_{|NSHG|}, V_{|E_{NSHG}|}^{EXTERNAL}$

be a longest path taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperCycle *ESHC* : (*V*, *E*). There is a new way to redefine as

$$\begin{split} &V_i^{EXTERNAL} \sim V_j^{EXTERNAL} = \\ &\exists ! E_z \in E_{ESHG(V, E)}, \, V_i^{EXTERNAL}, \, V_j^{EXTERNAL} \in E_z \equiv \\ &\exists ! E_z \in E_{ESHG(V, E)}, \, \left\{ V_i^{EXTERNAL}, \, V_j^{EXTERNAL} \right\} \subseteq E_z \end{split}$$

The term "EXTERNAL" implies $|N(V_i^{EXTERNAL})| \ge |N(V_j)|$ where V_j is corresponded to $V_i^{EXTERNAL}$ in the literatures of SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward.

Example 3.4.

In Figure 9, the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperCycle NSHC: (V, E), is highlighted and featured. The obtained Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet, in the Neutrosophic SuperHyperModel (9), is the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.



SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut in the Neutrosophic Example (4.4)

Proposition 3.5.

Assume a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperStar ESHS : (V, E).

Then

```
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}
= \{\}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}
= 0z^{0}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}
= \{\}.
C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}
= 0z^{0}.
```

Proof: Let

P: $V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$

```
CENTER, E,
```

 $E_1, V_1^{EXTERNAL}$

be a longest path taken a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperStar ESHS : (V, E). There's a new way to redefine as

$$\begin{split} &V_{i}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \sim V_{j}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} = \\ &\exists ! E_{z} \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, V_{i}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, V_{j}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \in E_{z} \equiv \\ &\exists ! E_{z} \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, \left\{ V_{i}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, V_{j}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \right\} \subseteq E_{z} \end{split}$$

The term "EXTERNAL" implies $|N(V_i^{EXTERNAL})| \ge |N(V_j)|$ where V_j is corresponded to $V_i^{EXTERNAL}$ in the literatures of SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward.

Example 3.6.

In the Figure 10, the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperStar ESHS: (V, E), is highlighted and featured. The obtained Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet, by the Algorithm in previous Neutrosophic result, of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices of the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperStar ESHS: (V, E), in the Neutrosophic SuperHyperModel (10), is the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.

Proposition 3.7.

Assume a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperBipartite ESHB : (V, E).

Then $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ Proof: Let P:

F, $V_1^{EXTERNAL}$, E_1 $V_2^{EXTERNAL}$, E_2

· · ·,



Figure 10: A Neutrosophic SuperHyperStar Associated to the Neutrosophic Notions of Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut in the Neutrosophic Example (4.6)

$$\begin{split} & V_{|P_{i}|=\min P_{j} \in E_{NSHG}}^{EXTERNAL} \left| P_{j} \right|, \ E_{|P_{i}|=\min P_{j} \in E_{NSHG}} \left| P_{j} \right| \\ & P: \\ & E_{1}, \ V_{1}^{EXTERNAL} \\ & E_{2}, \ V_{2}^{EXTERNAL} \\ & \cdots, \\ & E_{|P_{i}|=\min P_{j} \in E_{NSHG}} \left| P_{j} \right|, \ V_{|P_{i}|=\min P_{j} \in E_{NSHG}}^{EXTERNAL} \left| P_{j} \right| \end{split}$$

is a longest path taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperBipartite ESHB : (V, E). There's a new way to redefine as

$$\begin{split} &V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \sim V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} = \\ &\exists ! E_z \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, \, V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, \, V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \in E_z \equiv \\ &\exists ! E_z \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, \, \left\{ V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, \, V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \right\} \subseteq E_z \end{split}$$

The term "EXTERNAL" implies $|N(V_i^{EXTERNAL})| \ge |N(V_j)|$ where V_j is corresponded to $V_i^{EXTERNAL}$ in the literatures of SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward. Then there's no at least one SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.

Thus the notion of quasi may be up but the SuperHyperNotions based on SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut could be applied. There are only two SuperHyperParts. Thus every SuperHyperPart could have one SuperHyperVertex as the representative in the

P:

 $V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$ $V_2^{EXTERNAL}, E_2$

is a longest SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperBipartite *ESHB* : (*V, E*). Thus only some SuperHyperVertices and only minimum-Neutrosophic-of-SuperHyperPart SuperHyperEdges are attained in any solution

P: $V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$ $V_2^{EXTERNAL}, E_2$

The latter is straightforward.

Example 3.8.

In the Neutrosophic Figure (11), the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperBipartite ESHB : (V, E), is Neutrosophic highlighted and Neutrosophic featured. The obtained Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet, by the Neutrosophic Algorithm in 388 previous Neutrosophic result, of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices of the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperBipartite ESHB : (V, E), in the Neutrosophic SuperHyperModel (11), is the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.

Proposition 3.9.

Assume a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperMultipartite ESHM : (V, E).

Then



Figure 11: Neutrosophic SuperHyperBipartite Neutrosophic Associated to the Neutro- sophic Notions of Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut in the Example (4.8)

 $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ **Proof:** Let P:

 $V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$

 $V_2^{EXTERNAL}, E_2$

· · ·,

 $V_{|P_i|=\min P_j \in E_{NSHG}}^{EXTERNAL} |P_j|, E_{|P_i|=\min P_j \in E_{NSHG}} |P_j|$ P:

 $E_1, V_1^{EXTERNAL}$

 $E_2, V_2^{EXTERNAL}$

· · ·,

 $E_{|P_i|=\min P_j \in E_{NSHG}} \left| P_j \right|, V_{|P_i|=\min P_j \in E_{NSHG}}^{EXTERNAL} \left| P_j \right|$

is a longest SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperMultipartite ESHM: (*V*, *E*). There's a new way to redefine as

$$\begin{split} &V_{i}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \sim V_{j}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} = \\ &\exists ! E_{z} \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, V_{i}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, V_{j}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \in E_{z} \equiv \\ &\exists ! E_{z} \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, \left\{ V_{i}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, V_{j}^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \right\} \subseteq E_{z} \end{split}$$

The term "EXTERNAL" implies $|N(V_i^{EXTERNAL})| \ge |N(V_j)|$ where V_j is corresponded to $V_i^{EXTERNAL}$ in the literatures of SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward. Then there's no at least one SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. Thus the notion of quasi may be up but the SuperHyperNotions based on SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut could be applied. There are only z^2 SuperHyperParts. Thus every SuperHyperPart could have one SuperHyperVertex as the representative in the

$$P:$$

$$V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$$

$$V_2^{EXTERNAL}, E_2$$

is a longest path taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperMultipartite *ESHM* : (*V*, *E*). Thus only some SuperHyperVertices and only minimum-Neutrosophic-of-SuperHyperPart SuperHyperEdges are attained in any solution

$$V_1^{EXTERNAL}, E_1$$

 $V_2^{EXTERNAL}, E_2$

D.

is a longest path taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperMultipartite ESHM: (V, E). The latter is straightforward.

Example 3.10.

In Figure 12, the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperMultipartite ESHM: (V, E), is highlighted and Neutrosophic featured. The obtained Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet, by the Algorithm in previous Neutrosophic result, of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices of the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperMultipartite ESHM: (V, E), in the Neutrosophic SuperHyperModel (12), is the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.



Proposition 3.11.

Assume a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperWheel ESHW: (V, E).

Then $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut}$ $= \{\}.$ $C(NSHG)_{Neutrosophic V-Eulerian-Path-Cut SuperHyperPolynomial}$ $= 0z^{0}.$ **Proof:** Let P: $V_{1}^{EXTERNAL}, E_{1}^{*}$

P:

 $E_1^*, V_1^{EXTERNAL}$

CENTER, E_2^*

 E_2^* , CENTER

is a longest SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut taken from a connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperWheel ESHW: (V, E). There's a new way to redefine as



$$\begin{split} & V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \sim V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} = \\ & \exists ! E_z \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \in E_z \equiv \\ & \exists ! E_z \in E_{\textit{ESHG}(V, E)}, \left\{ V_i^{\textit{EXTERNAL}}, V_j^{\textit{EXTERNAL}} \right\} \subseteq E_z \end{split}$$

The term "EXTERNAL" implies $|N(V_i^{EXTERNAL})| \ge |N(V_j)|$ where V_j is corresponded to $V_i^{EXTERNAL}$ in the literatures of SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. The latter is straightforward. Then there's at least one SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut. Thus the notion of quasi isn't up and the SuperHyperNotions based on SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut could be applied. The unique embedded SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut proposes some longest SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut excerpt from some representatives. The latter is straightforward.

Example 3.12.

In the Neutrosophic Figure 13, the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperWheel NSHW: (*V*, *E*), is Neutrosophic highlighted and featured. The obtained Neutrosophic SuperHyperSet, by the Algorithm in previous result, of the Neutrosophic SuperHyperVertices of the connected Neutrosophic SuperHyperWheel ESHW: (*V*, *E*), in the Neutrosophic SuperHyperModel (13), is the Neutrosophic SuperHyperEulerian-Path-Cut.

References

- Henry Garrett (2023). New Ideas in Recognition of Cancer and Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph as Hyper Tool on Super Toot. *Curr Trends Mass Comm*, 2(1), 32-55. https://www.opastpublishers.com/open-access-articles/new-ideas-inrecognition-of-cancer-and-neutrosophic-super-hypergraph-as-hyper-tool-on-super-toot.pdf
- Henry Garrett (2023). Some Super Hyper Degrees and Co-Super Hyper Degrees on Neutrosophic Super Hyper Graphs And Super Hyper Graphs Alongside Applications in Cancer's Treatments. *J Math Techniques Comput Math*, 2(1), 35-47. https://www.opastpublishers.com/open-access-articles/some-super-hyper-degrees-and-cosuper-hyperdegrees-on-neutrosophic-super-hyper-graphs-and-super-hyper-graphs-alongside-a.pdf
- Henry Garrett (2023). A Research on Cancer's Recognition and Neutrosophic Super Hypergraph by Eulerian Super Hyper Cycles and Hamiltonian Sets as Hyper Covering Versus Super Separations. J Math Techniques Comput Math, 2(3), 136-148. https://www.opastpublishers.com/open-access-articles/a-research-on-cancers-recognition-andneutrosophic-super-hypergraph-by-eulerian-super-hyper-cycles-and-hamiltonian-sets-.pdf
- Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic 1-Failed SuperHyperForcing in the SuperHyperFunction to Use Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs on Cancer's Neutrosophic Recognition and Beyond. J Math Techniques Comput Math, 2(6), 221-307. https://www.opastpublishers.com/open-access-articles/neutrosophic-1failed-superhyperforcing-in-thesuperhyperfunction-to-use-neutrosophic-superhypergraphs-on-cancers-neutros.pdf
- Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic Co-degree and Neutrosophic Degree alongside Chromatic Numbers in the Setting of Some Classes Related to Neutrosophic Hypergraphs. J Curr Trends Comp Sci Res, 2(1), 16-24. doi: 10.33140/ JCTCSR.02.01.04
- Henry Garrett (2022). Super Hyper Dominating and Super Hyper Resolving on Neutrosophic Super Hyper Graphs and Their Directions in Game Theory and Neutrosophic Super Hyper Classes. *J Math Techniques Comput Math*, 1(3), 242-263. doi: 10.33140/JMTCM.01.03.09.
- Garrett, Henry (2022). 0039 Closing Numbers and Super-Closing Numbers as (Dual)Resolving and (Dual)Coloring alongside (Dual)Dominating in (Neutrosophic)n-SuperHyperGraph. CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research - Zenodo, Nov. CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6319942. https://oa.mg/work/10.5281/zenodo.6319942
- Garrett, Henry (2022). 0049 (Failed)1-Zero-Forcing Number in Neutrosophic Graphs. CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research - Zenodo, Feb. CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research. https://doi.org/10.13140/ rg.2.2.35241.26724. https://oa.mg/work/10.13140/rg.2.2.35241.26724

- Henry Garrett (2023). Extreme SuperHyperClique as the Firm Scheme of Confrontation under Cancer's Recognition as the Model in The Setting of (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010308.doi: 10.20944/ preprints202301.0308.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Uncertainty on the Act and Effect of Cancer Alongside the Foggy Positions of Cells Toward Neutrosophic Failed SuperHyperClique inside Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs Titled Cancer's Recognition. Preprints, 2023010282. doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0282.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic Version of Separates Groups of Cells in Cancer's Recognition on Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010267. doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0267.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). The Shift Paradigm to Classify Separately the Cells and Affected Cells Toward the Totality Under Cancer's Recognition by New Multiple Definitions on the Sets Polynomials Alongside Numbers in the (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperMatching Theory Based on SuperHyperGraph and Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph. Preprints, 2023010265. doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0265.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Breaking the Continuity and Uniformity of Cancer in the Worst Case of Full Connections with Extreme Failed SuperHyperClique in Cancer's Recognition Applied in (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010262. doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0262.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic Failed SuperHyperStable as the Survivors on the Cancer's Neutrosophic Recognition Based on Uncertainty to All Modes in Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010240. doi: 10.20944/ preprints202301.0240.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Extremism of the Attacked Body Under the Cancer's Circumstances Where Cancer's Recognition Titled (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010224. doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0224.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). (Neutrosophic) 1-Failed SuperHyperForcing in Cancer's Recognitions And (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010105. doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0105.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic Messy-Style SuperHyperGraphs to Form Neutrosophic SuperHyperStable to Act on Cancer's Neutrosophic Recognitions in Special ViewPoints. Preprints, 2023010088. doi: 10.20944/ preprints202301.0088.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic 1-Failed SuperHyperForcing in the SuperHyperFunction to Use Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs on Cancer's Neutrosophic Recognition and Beyond. Preprints, 2023010044
- Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic) SuperHyperStable on Cancer's Recognition by Well- SuperHyperModelled (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010043.doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0043.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). Basic Notions on (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperForcing and (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperModeling in Cancer's Recognitions and (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperGraphs. Preprints, 2023010105. doi: 10.20944/ preprints202301.0105.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2023). "Neutrosophic Messy-Style SuperHyperGraphs to Form Neutrosophic SuperHyperStable to Act on Cancer's Neutrosophic Recognitions in Special ViewPoints.Preprints, 2023010088. doi: 10.20944/ preprints202301.0088.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2022). Neutrosophic) SuperHyperModeling of Cancer's Recognitions Featuring (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperDefensive SuperHyperAlliances. Preprints, 2022120549. doi: 10.20944/preprints202212.0549.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2022). Neutrosophic) SuperHyperAlliances with SuperHyperDefensive and SuperHyperOffensive Type-SuperHyperSet on (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperGraph with (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperModeling of Cancer's Recognitions and Related (Neutrosophic) SuperHyperClasses. Preprints, 2022120540. doi: 10.20944/ preprints202212.0540.v1.
- Henry Garrett (2022). SuperHyperGirth on SuperHyperGraph and Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph with SuperHyperModeling of Cancer's Recognitions. Preprints, 2022120500. doi: 10.20944/preprints202212.0500.v1.

Henry Garrett (2022). Initial Material of Neutrosophic Preliminaries to Study Some Neutrosophic Notions Based on Neutrosophic SuperHyperEdge (NSHE) in Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraph (NSHG). ResearchGate. doi: 10.13140/RG2.2.25385.88160.

Henry Garrett (2023). Beyond Neutrosophic Graphs. Dr. Henry Garrett. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6320305.

Henry Garrett (2023). Neutrosophic Duality. Dr. Henry Garrett. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6677173.

Cite this article as: Henry Garrett (2023). New Ideas in Recognition of Cancer and Neutrosophic Super Hyper Graph by Eulerian-Path-Cut as Hyper Eulogy-Path-Cut on Super EULA-Path-Cut. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics Research*, 3(2), 102-124. doi: 10.51483/IJPAMR.3.2.2023.102-124.