



# International Journal of Political Science and Public Administration

Publisher's Home Page: <https://www.svedbergopen.com/>



Research Paper

Open Access

## Through Wild Germany. Durchs Wilde Deutschland

Heresh (Zakaria) Qaderi<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> Ph.D. in Political Science, Independent Researcher, Germany. E-mail: [z.heresh.qaderi@gmail.com](mailto:z.heresh.qaderi@gmail.com)

### Article Info

Volume 2, Issue 1, June 2022

Received : 02 May 2022

Accepted : 25 May 2022

Published : 05 June 2022

doi: [10.51483/IJPSPA.2.1.2022.49-57](https://doi.org/10.51483/IJPSPA.2.1.2022.49-57)

### Abstract

About 100 years ago, Karl May, who spent eight years in prison due to fraud, forgery and lying, with no travel to Kurdistan, wrote a book about Kurdistan, «Durch Wilde Kurdistan», in which he called the Kurds, barbaric and wild. Over the last 100 years, Kurds due to Sykes/Picot and domination of single-ethnic Arab, Turkic and Fars-Iran State, have not had a chance to manifest their spirit and moral character in the objectivity of politics, however, in their temporary opportunities, such as World War II in Eastern Kurdistan, after the fall of Saddam in Southern Kurdistan, and especially today in Western Kurdistan (Rojava), at utopia level, they have succeeded in achieving a democratic and humane order, which, Persian, Arab and Turks, were never able to realize despite the monopoly of modernity facilities and State. Rojava's model of democracy could even become a model for Western countries. More importantly, if nearly one million refugees have been accepted in Germany, about 2 million refugees have settled in Kurdistan (Bashure). Comparison of social and moral attitude towards the refugees in Germany and Kurdistan, For instance, the Kurdish friendly and hospitality treatment of refugees, contrary to Germans pity/hatred, shows not only the difference between the level of moral civilization of Kurdistan and Germany, rather, it shows us, the political system realized in Rojava, is an objective and political manifestation of the moral character of the Kurds, just as Nazism is the manifestation of the Germans moral character which still remains in their subconscious personality. And today's democracy is founded solely by bureaucracy based on a self-conscious subject which, like the Weimar Republic, can collapse with any crisis, because it is not suitable to Germany's national character. This democratic subject is lacking. If we want to know what the Nazism was, we must discover who the Germans are. The analysis that German philosophers like Arendt, Fromm and Adorno did, not science, but myths, because like Hitler, put responsibility on the shoulders of the other and general crises. Unlike Karl May, I have been living in Germany for nearly four years and what I am writing is not fiction but reality. This paper aims to show, who and which nation is wild and barbaric? Therefore, the subject of this paper is not the Kurds and Kurdistan, but Germany and Germans.

**Keywords:** *Germany, Kurdistan, Karl May, Wild, Barbaric*

© 2022 Heresh (Zakaria) Qaderi. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

### 1. Introduction

After World War II, many analysts and even philosophers tried to explain what happened and what was the Nazism? Great philosophers such as *Karl Popper, Hannah Arendt, Horkheimer-Adorno, and Eric Fromm*, tried to find an answer

\* Corresponding author: Heresh (Zakaria) Qaderi, Ph.D. in Political Science, Germany, Independent Researcher, Germany. E-mail: [z.heresh.qaderi@gmail.com](mailto:z.heresh.qaderi@gmail.com)

to the question of Nazism and the reasons for its emergence. they assumed general reasons, such as the crisis of Western rationality, the «*historicism*» and authoritarian Philosophies, the capitalist crisis, and the anti-Semitic movement. However, they didn't have any answer, why it only happened in Germany if it was rooted in general and global crises. Because, if it has general roots and reasons like the Crisis of Western Rationality, it must happen generally in other western countries, nevertheless, as we have seen, it happened merely in Germany. The hypothesis of this paper is that Nazism was a manifestation of Germans character and identity which still exists potentially in their character and personality. Other factors only caused it to go from potential to actual. As today, the imposed system of democracy is the only outward aspect of the conscious subject that can temporarily suppress the dark unconscious character of the Germans Nazism but cannot destroy it. As a result, if we want to discover what was the Nazism, we must find who are Germans? In this paper, I do not want to involve myself in other theories. on the contrary, according to Husserl's phenomenology, I want to return to the thing itself, that is, the German character without conceptual presuppositions according to my experience (Erlebnis) in Germany with the German's people, those who are apparently not Nazism, even claim to accept and help refugees and foreign. Therefore, the subject of this paper is not the Nazism, Neither the crisis of Western rationality or enlightenment, nor the anti-Semitic movement, nor the authoritarian philosophers and the crisis of natural character, nor the crisis of capitalism, all of them, molded general analyses and concepts on a particular phenomenon. The subject is Germany's personality and character. Thus, Nazism to me is just a concept to describe Germany's character not the ideology or political system.

I have noticed that the concept that Philosophy uses to explain Nazism, makes us blind to recognize what was the Nazism and who are the Germans, if we know who the Germans are, we will discover what was the Nazism. Since Nazism was not just an ideology or government system rather that is German's character and identity. That is Germany's lifestyle against foreigners which just takes ideological and governmental aspects against Jews by Hitler. Therefore, neither we can judge them, nor they must try to change their character and identity. This identity is beyond goodness and badness, because it is beyond choice and decision, and democracy which nowadays is dominating Germany, is ideology and management, based on a guilty and tormented suspended subject, that just has continued and stabilized, on the one hand, with Bureaucracy: punishment, police (Polizei, Gefängnis and bestrafen) and international shame, on the other hand, social welfare and comfort.

## 2. Theoretical Framework

Some of Philosophies such as *Horkheimer and Theodor W. Adorno*, in the book of *Dialectic of Enlightenment (Dialektik der Aufklärung)*, located this impulse in the concept of reason itself, which the Enlightenment and modern scientific thought had transformed into an irrational force that had come to dominate not only nature but humanity itself. The rationalization of human society had ultimately led to fascism and other totalitarian regimes that represented a complete negation of human freedom. This ambivalence was rooted in the historical circumstances in which *Dialectic of Enlightenment* was originally produced. Karl Popper in the book of *The Open Society and Its Enemies*, tried to find Ideological reasons for that like Authoritarian Philosophies and critique of theories of teleological historicism, which history unfolds inexorably according to universal laws. Popper indicts Plato, Hegel, and Marx as totalitarian for relying on historicism to underpin their political philosophies. Hana aren't, believes Nazism Roots in totalitarianism and the crisis of thought in human irresponsibility and judgment. The *Origins of Totalitarianism* is structured as three essays: "Antisemitism", "Imperialism" and "Totalitarianism". *Escape from Freedom* and *Man for Himself*, these books outlined Fromm's theory of human character, which was a natural outgrowth of human nature. Fromm used the story of Adam and Eve as an allegorical explanation for human biological evolution and existential angst and guilt to discover Nazism's root.

These philosophers who have analyzed it, we must not forget that, although they were jews fugitives from Nazism, still they were Germans. The Germans Psychotic, in evading responsibility and escaping from guilt and torment, try to blame the general things and Structures: such as western enlightenment, rationality and the human nature or some idea. Because it's hard to take responsibility for such barbaric acts. What happened in Germany, has nothing to do with other totalitarian and dictatorial systems such as Stalinism. Nazism is a special phenomenon in Germany and its roots must be searched at in the German character Not in general human character or rationality as *Eric Fromm* and *Adorno* have shown. Or general totalitarianism as Arendt has stated. Human beings are the basis and origin of any development and phenomena, which government and political systems are objective spirits or manifestations of human personality, character, and mentality, nonetheless, there is no common character and universal human nature. They are still thinking within the framework that *Socrates and Plato*, who hated democracy, set up. Every culture and nation find its character at a time in history, as Hegel has pointed out, that shapes the fate and destiny of that nation or person. It means, every nation has their own character which although takes a lot of development and change, however, remains its previous nature, identity and character.

Nazism, was a particular phenomenon and in contrast to *Aren't and Dialectics of Enlightenment analyses*, has nothing to do with another totalitarianism like Stalinism and Italian fascism or Enlightenment movement, because France was the cradle of the Enlightenment but why did it happen in Germany? Also, Nazism was completely different from other Totalitarianism regimes. Other totalitarian and dictatorial regimes from Stalin to Saddam and the Islamic Republic Iran too, rooted in their people's character and have nothing to do with their propagandistic ideology. For example, Arabism, behaved similarly in the killing of Kurds, whether in the form of secular Ba'ath nationalism or Islamic ISIS. Just as Iran, both in the secular form of the monarchy and the Islamic Republic, behaves similarly towards Kurds in their suppression, execution, and cultural destruction. Despite that, every nation tries to put responsibility on: General issues, a part of the government like SS or the Other, to exonerate themselves. While political systems cannot be formed outside the personalities of its people. Every Arabic is a small ISIS, every Persian/Iranian is a small *Republic Islamic or Monarchy*, Every Kurdish is Kurdish party and Rojava system, as every German is a little Hitler. There is no difference between *Adorno-Horkheimer and Hitler*, both blame the **Other** to evade responsibility. Adorno-Horkheimer, condemned French Enlightenment and Hitler's Jews; both are rooted in the *Sturm und Drang* epochs, which is also rooted in the German national character against the *Other*. The emphasis *Sturm und Drang* movements on instinct and tradition versus rationality, found its logical result in the politicization of instinct in Nazism and its philosophical form in the dialectics of enlightenment in the critique of rationalism Until Habermas emerged to save rationality from Kant's solitary subjectivism on the one hand, and on the other hand, from the instinct and feeling of *Lebensphilosophie* of Germans Philosophies.

As «Protagoras» has pointed out, man is the criteria of everything. Any analysis that does not start from human beings (not general human beings, national character); is not science, but myth. Arendt and Adorno; mention general intellectual and political developments. They didn't ask themselves who shaped these developments and why general crises were manifested just in Germany. If the Germans are not to blame for Nazism, then it is God and destiny which belongs to myth, not science. As *Roland Barrett* has pointed out, myth - ideology, with naturalization and generalization, tries to hide the political roots of phenomena. Germans Philosophies: instead discover the reason and root of fascism, cover and justify it.

Every man and Nation, have a destiny, which make them limited to change themselves, however, destiny is disposition and every person-nation character, our personality and nation character, shape our destiny not human being character which does not exist. That's why structuralist theories emerged to take responsibility off human shoulders. Of course, structures, shaped us, but the structure of our personality and national character, shapes the structure of our society and politics, Though, vice versa, economic, social, and political structures shape us and limit our choice.

The *Authoritarian Personality* by Adorno, include conventionalism, authoritarian submission, authoritarian aggression, anti-interception, superstition and stereotypy, power and "toughness", destructiveness and cynicism, projectivity, and exaggerated concerns over sex. Central idea of the Authoritarian Personality is that authoritarianism is the result of a Freudian developmental model. The Idea of Authoritarian from Adorno is closer to analyze Nazism because it is rooted in German character, however, the problem is, it explores human personality in general, while the German character should be examined specifically because Nazism is a German phenomenon.

The theoretical basis of this paper is Freud's conscious and unconscious but not the common man which Freud considers, and Fromm and Adorno analyzed, rather, his method of self-conscious and unconscious relationship. Except that the subconscious is not sexual instinct, but the instinct of Nazism and antipathy to foreigners. A self-conscious subject based on superego dominance, which here can be domestic law (fear) and international norms (shame), trying to control the instinctive subconscious of Nazism character of Germans but these behaviors and speech of the conscious subject, is like a small island in the middle of a big ocean, that it is not possible to have complete control over the subconscious that inadvertently displays in its behaviors and actions. Especially in times of economic and political crisis with the collapse of the suspended subject of consciousness, unconscious Nazism instinct reveals itself, that may be organized by politics and ideology maybe not. Today's appearances of human rights and respect for refugees in Germany, are within the limits of a self-conscious subject arising from fear of laws or the shame of international norms, which does not mean changing the character of the Germans from Nazism to democracy. Democracy on the one hand, is management and bureaucracy, imposed by law and punishment or reward, on the other hand, is a modern religion, except that its hell and paradise are in this world. This subject – as a Žižek in another context said – is lack, not just lack of instinct but lack of being.

Like all classical religions, this new religion, democracy, will fail. This only divides the German character into two characters and causes German hypocrisy. The German parliament is like the Parliament of the Islamic Republic of Iran, where representatives claim Islamic and simple life, however, in practise, they have the same life as they condemn in

speech. German delegates also condemn the dark side of their character, when they denounce AFD and say: you are Fascism, it means, they say that we are not Fascism. They escape the dark side of their fascism personality.

As I said, democracy in Germany is a new religion, Instead *Halal and Haram*, legal and Illegal (*Gesetze und ungesetze*). The dictatorship of law and bureaucracy has replaced the dictatorships of religion and Hitler. No one challenges the rules. Like medieval religious fanatics and Isis today, they are slaves to the dictatorship of laws. The only difference is that the law of bureaucracy has replaced divine law. An immature nation that always needs a father, whether it's Hitler's superman or a bureaucracy that both replaces God on earth. The religious spirit and fanatics of medieval German forests have been revived in the form of law and Regulations, which is the orders of the bureaucracy in alliance with the science of scientists. In fact, the unity of the clergy and the power of medieval feudal, has been reproduced in the form of an alliance of scientists and bureaucracy. And religious prejudice and religious/Tribe otherness, have been revived in the form of legal prejudice and Otherness of Nationalism. When Germany was burning in superstition and religious prejudice, and nearly four million were killed because of religious wars, Kurdistan was the cradle of anti-Islamic caliphate movements, dancing and rejoicing by rejecting religious values. Kurdistan, throughout its history and now has been the cradle of different Yazidi and Yarsan, Shabak, Alawite, Jewish, Christ, Shia, Sunni, and Sufi religions that coexist together without bureaucracy and regulation or punishment. Religious prejudices in Kurdistan have always been imposed from outside by Arabs, Turks, and Persians, not self-Kurds, such as Isis Arabic and Islamic parties after the Islamic Revolution of Iran, that despite the support of Iran and Saudi Arabia, they were never able to win the support of the people. Nevertheless, in all other Middle East countries, when Democracy replaces Despotism, from Egypt to Iran, Islamic groups will win the election.

Andre Siegfried in the book of *«Nations have Souls»*, defines German's souls with the management. It is true that Germans have power and talent of management and discipline, The Germans, by management, can create even democracy, such as today's democracy and parliament. German empires especially Bismarck which regarded the militant spirit and the discipline of the Prussian army as the model for all individual and civic life. After World War II, democracy and parliament were established with the management and bureaucracy, but the soul of Germans is far from the soul of democracy. It is divided into German characters. One dimension that appears and the other dimension that has been suppressed. The apparent face and democratic dimension is the subject of self-awareness and artificial behavior with foreigners, and its repressed and unconscious aspect, occurs in non-optional behaviors and aggressions that can erupt in times of economic and political crisis which sometimes we saw during Corona crisis time. Therefore, there are two Germans, one for Germans themselves and others for the foreign. The jungle border, in the Middle Ages, which separates Germans from civilization romans, today, is revived with culture. That means Germans, despite the fact, are modern, however, still separate and define themselves against foreign. Nazism just expressed German's character as an ideology and was not made by government decision. As Angela Merkel pointed out, «Nazism is a part of Germans political history» and still exists in their character unconsciously. I mean, Germans still are uncivilized and still think they live in the Jungle and must defend their territory and tribe. The difference is that tribe abstracted or realized as the form of nation, but structure is still tribe culture against foreign. That's why I said there are two Germans, Germans together really are civilized people and polite except, they are 100 percent different toward foreign. In the Jungle, Hyenas, or tribes, also are good to each other, they defend one another and play together but against another tribe of hyenas or another animal, they are wild. Germans are like that. The difference is merely, instead tribe, nation is criteria, and another tribe are foreign people who violated their privacy and just, low, police and international hegemony and norms limited them.

They have been modernized, however they brought with them their old tribe-religion culture. This feeling of being different from others and a sense of separation, has been updated and formulated by their philosophies from *Martin Luther* to *Sturm und Drang movement*, from Herder to Heidegger and the leaders from Bismarck to Hitler, come not from sky, they came from their people and all Germans people are responsible to what happened in their history. Nowadays, the migrant policy does not mean it is finished, conversely, Restimulates it and all the «Policy Making» have been done, is to clear what in the past happened. It's a beautiful policy but I'm afraid it won't succeed. The system of democracy was established, imposed from above and has not to do with Germany's culture. Some philosophers: such as *Kant*, not only was influenced by France revolution, but also, he was just a self-awareness subject, like a small island in the ocean of nation-worship, which manifested in the form of *Sturm und Drang movement* and other Philosophies, namely, *Fichte and Herder*. The absolute «I», who can realize himself, was Germans, who manifested in the form of the political character of Nazism.

The distance between the democratic system and what they are, has caused their hypocrisy and duality of their character: One face, is to pretend that they are not Nazism and because of international prestige come to the street and claim refugee's acceptance, another face, is their daily character which unconsciously reveals their identity. They can

mention a lot of reasons like work, Tax, and unsafety which the refugees caused, nonetheless, the reason is not what they are saying but their culture and character. As Nietzsche has said, reason is the dust of instincts. The self-conscious German subject—due to fear, retrial and police, or international encouragement—is very different from their unconscious personality and is only the appearance and playing a role (Sarcastic. insincere).

The Corona crisis as an example showed us who the Germans are. They, because of Taschentuch/Tissue paper, wanted to kill each other and, like Wild tribes, attack the store and shop to buy something. Many times they, due to a *papier*, discussed with one another. Many times, when the seller threatened them to contact the Police (Soll ich Polizei anrufen), they finished discussing. When peace and prosperity prevail in society, they are more civilized. *Lions* also don't attack other animals whenever they're not hungry. Compare with a Kurdistan that has not seen a single day of peace and prosperity because of Sykes-Picot and the single-ethnic Arab and Turkic and Fars governments but they continue to treat foreigners and refugees civilized and affable. If the Germans lived and experienced the constant war and insecurity of Kurdistan, or Kurds have Germany's welfare and independent country, who can imagine what will happen? I am aware that Germans deserve their security and welfare because they have worked hard for it and really, they are disciplined and principled people, however, they Kurds do not deserve their destiny since in contrast to the Nazism, Sykes-Picot was out of their decision. Before Sykes-Picot.

The Kurds had never tried to form an empire. Empires are based on looting and seizing other lands. And we observed that despite the collapse of the Iraqi and Syrian governments, they did not invade another land other than Kurdistan. On the contrary, Iranians, Turks, and Arabs, as we are witnessing, in Syria and Iraq, like the Old Empire, are always trying to invade and seize other lands. German, French, and English orientalist such as Noldeke and Herzfeld and Henri Corbin, described the aggressor empires such as Persian empire, which is reproduced in the form of modern nation-state, in the form of culture and civilization and They didn't realize the military dominance of empires over culture and confiscated Kurdish culture and history in the name of Iranian and Islamic, to legitimize Sykes-Picot. One of the few Kurdish empires was the rule of Salah al-Din Ayoubi, formed based on loyalty to the agreement and the Confederation of Tribes, which, if compared to the English Richard Lionheart, the German Barbara's, and the Iranian and Islamic empires which composed only by blood and sword, would notice the moral and political character of the Kurds, which today also is reproduced in the *Rojava*.

Consequently, they feel very unsafe, and they will be calm just because of the police and fear of punishment or comfort. This government and law, which prevails in Germany, is not suitable for these people, for that reason, they always watch criminal movies and read criminal novels to satisfy their sense of violence-*brütaltät*. If they are not afraid of punishment and police, it is not unimaginable to repeat history because most of them are small Hitler. Violence is in their souls and personalities. They cannot satisfy violence reality, so they satisfy it mentality, or they express it unconsciously with their behaviour. The instinct for death and violence needs another to be evacuated. We see this anti-foreigner instinct more among women not because women are more Nazism, but as I said, it's an unconscious issue, and women, like all women in the world, have less control over their own unconsciousness and instincts. They, unlike other nations such as France, cannot easily select the extreme-right Party, because of the past and shame of condemnation, therefore, in the society they exhibit more of their hatred of Foreigners.

As a result, neither we can judge them for what they are, nor they must try to show another face and claim another character. Nation is like a person who has received a lot of new experiences and new style of life and maybe changed beliefs and religion but still the previous character beyond all changing will remain. Nations also have soul or character, they can be poor or rich, industrial or backward, however there is one soul or character which could accept some change but remain the structure. For example, the Iranian, change their religion from Zoroastrianism to Sunni Islam and late Shiite Islam, from nationalism to republic Islamic thus, they are what they are, from Cyrus to Khamenei, they can just attack and rape another land. If there is not an international system, superpower like the United States, the Internet and international human rights, the Iranian regime, would have destroyed the Middle East, with *Khamenei-Qasem Soleimani*, like *Cyrus* did. Modernity does not change the soul of a nation. It just makes them sarcastic because of globalization and human right pressure. Another example is the Arab, ISIS behaves exactly like the time of their Prophet and Caliph to attack for booty and looting because this is Ethnic Spirit and character of Arabs. Although in a recent century they have been apparently modern, they just Articulated modern toll and ideology in the direction of old ethnic character and value.

About one million refugees have been coming to Germany, however, mostly two million are in Kurdistan. What is the difference between Germans' behavior and Kurds in dealing with refugees? If you go shopping in Kurdistan, which belongs to the refugees, they tell you: no Kurdish language. It means they speak their mother language, they preserve their religion and culture, but still receive good respect, which they never get in their own land. Without learning Kurdish language, they do what they want. Who can in Germany, Iran, or an Arab country, without learning their language, make

business or receive respect? Who dares at all? They, in Kurdistan, have complete freedom by preserving their language and religion. However, in Germany men must be robots and object to their law and culture, then allowed to do something. The Kurds respect refugees and foreign people more than themselves. Every foreign said that Kurdish are hospitable and full of respect. I was there when a massive wave of refugees came. Kurdish people behaved without any borders. Not like Germany, either not contact or contact as a refugee with petty and mercy (Milted) Humans, can also have pity for animals and dogs. In this case, only one side is human. Not the side that's been pitied. Their only connection to refugees is from the perspective of strength and pity and help, not an equal relationship between two human beings. They never accept one refugee as better than Them. But the Kurds behave like humans, like friends and neighbors, not as refugees and the others. Although the war is over, many of them do not want to go back to their country, because they make Kurdistan feel like their Homeland (Heimat). In Germany, because of money refugee stay there. How far is the difference between two civilizations? One of them is famous for civilization and culture and another was nicknamed to the wild? As Derrida gave us basic conceptual, we must change the binary opposition.

Certainly, Kurdistan does not have their own government and does not reach countries such as Germany to support them well, nevertheless, they respect and help the refugees with pleasure. In the whole history, Kurdistan was a safe land, which all displaced from other religions have taken refuge there, namely, the Jews. On the contrary we saw what happened to them in World War II, and we experience every day, how Germans behave with us. They really discriminate against refugees, and one fell in daily life. Nazism killed just one time, but the Germans' democracy killed us every day. They killed our personality, our Individual identity and our pride. They do not accept foreign people as a human being, but as a refugee/Flüchtlinge who are dangerous and enemy or take their tax. As a DW pointed out, 90% of refugees are working at the Gastronomy and handwork. The difference between refugees in Germany and Slaven in the USA in 17 centuries, is that they were forced to go there, however, we came voluntarily. They discuss on TV like ZDF about integration: some of them say, it is not happening because refugees are Non-professional, some others said, it will happen, because Germany needs Cooks and shoemakers, and workers and cleaners. It means the only meaning of integration for them is work/Arbeit not what we already understand as a cultural meaning. Nonetheless, it does not mean the acceptance of refugees was due to economic reasons, neither economic nor human rights, but political reasons which were to erase the past and claim that we are not the Nazism for the international prestige and peppering Germany to make its big role in the world. It doesn't change them; it only causes German hypocrisy or sarcasm. The Germans must decide either respect their law and treat refugees equally or change the law and put aside shame. Unfortunately, law addresses the subject of consciousness, not the unconscious. «Niemand darf wegen seines Geschlechtes, seiner Abstammung, seiner Rasse, seiner Sprache, seiner Heimat und Herkunft, seines Glaubens, seiner religiösen oder politischen Anschauungen benachteiligt oder bevorzugt werden. Niemand darf wegen seiner Behinderung benachteiligt werden» (Grundgesetz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland Art 3) Germans really care about regulation, but in this case, they do not have enough capacity.

In this case, the government is responsible too, everywhere and every course we go, they used to define us as refugees/Flüchtlinge, even Test. In all bureaucracy programs and papers, there is the word refugee-Flüchtlinge. They never deal with us as a human being or individual identity, but as an other. However, I confirm really that the Germans Government is trying to be democratic and go beyond the Nazism character. Government truly seeks human rights; however, it is not suitable for its people .

Karl May (1842-1912) published a book about Kurdistan which was called *Durchs wilde Kurdistan*. Kurdistan was an object for the orientalists and government officials from west countries who have divided Kurdistan between four countries. In the time of Carl my, Laurence of Arabia, was in Saudi Arabia that under the leadership of him, like Prophet time, attacked the city and trains for theft and plunder. However, Laurence, did not say, they are wild, conversely, they admire, praise, and help them to be an independent country. At the same time, some other orientalists such as Gobineau and Henry Corbin, were in Iran. At that time, all the Middle East was same and have same tribe culture, whole Iran was tribe structure, and they attack caravanserais and fought each other's which king Reza himself said about that, however they did not say *Wilde Iran*, rather, they made history for them and made them proud. The orientalists wrote in line with the politics of their governments. We understand the relationship between knowledge and power of Michel Foucault, which was used by Edward Said for the Middle East. They had realized that the Kurdish people were free and defiant. Unlike the Arabs, Turks, and Persians, for example Lawrence's with the Arabs, have shown their obedience. And the policies of their governments were in accordance with the formation of Turkish, Arab and Fars governments. Some orientalists have pointed to the distinctive and proud character of the Kurds who do not give in to obedience and slavery in contrary to anglophile and Russophile in Iran. It was only in the last 100 years, under the government and education of others, the Kurdish character has been depleted.

They also realized that the Kurds, unlike other ethnic groups in the Middle East, do not have prejudices religious, to use them for ensuring future developments in the Middle East to sell War Equipment and supply the oil route. If the Kurds, like the Arabs and The Persians, who obeyed the British, they would have obeyed Germans agents' orders, Surely Carl May, like other orientalists such as Lawrence and Corbin, described Kurdistan as positive. Carl May's vision of describing Kurdistan as wild, is like to describe the Mesopotamian, Persian empire, and Islamic Caliph, that nicknamed Kurds as Satan, Jinn and wild, because they, unlike the theocratic kingdom, had a pluralistic and agreeable order and did not obey them. Nowadays, they dominant ethnicities, describe the Kurds as Terrorism and Secessionist, it is because of Kurdish rebellion against Islamic Dictatorship and Authoritarianism, and we see that turkey-Erdogan, in attacking the democracy of Rojava, nicknamed them to terrorism. This titles toward Kurds from the Islamic Ginn to Sykes-Picot, from Karl May's barbaric Kurdistan to Erdogan's terrorism, as Žižek has said elsewhere, is in response to a question of the subject of racism, what they want from us. No domination with positive concepts describes opposition and its opponents. Today, Russia accuses Ukraine of Nazism and militarism to justify attacking them too.

At that time, major powers such as Germany and England, had planned in the Middle East, to build dictatorial governments to stabilize and obey them in order to provide oil and... like Reza Khan's rise in Iran. that French and British orientalist such as Henry Corbin and Herzfeld, built the theoretical and historical foundation of Middle East absolute governments. However, the pluralistic Kurdish order did not fit their colonial politics. For this reason, Karl May, describes Kurdistan as barbaric because it did not give in to their domination and order Which the Iranian and Arabs countries did for England and France and unfortunately oil of Kurdistan had not been discovered at that time. The Kurds had revolts against the Ottoman Empire, exemplifying Sheikh Obaidullah Nahri, and Germany was allied with the Ottoman Empire. The transition from Karl May's Wild Kurdistan to the Democratic Kurdistan of Žižek and Chomsky, is the transition from orientalists affiliated with the politics of colonial governments to Free-thinking thinkers and Philosophers.

Karl May, himself able to make a living by changing nicknames and unpaid jobs after he dropped out of studying teaching and became a skilled delinquent, sometimes in the role of an eye-catching agent named Heilig, and sometimes as a police officer, he confiscated the money he claimed was fake and pocketed by the salesman. Once, as a reputable skin trader, he stripped off precious skin coats and was no longer brought back, and when he stole sharp-legged horses with a saddle and disappeared forever. Because of these large and small delinquencies, Mai spent eight years of her life in the black holes of the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, from which liberation was not so simple. Karl May, at the end of his life, was once again tried as a misdemeanor and charged with defrauding public opinion for publishing his fabricated photographs. Many critics and journalists unveil his abuses on the eve of the 20<sup>th</sup> century and condemn him.

Karl May critics consider his works to be entertaining and vulgar literature worthless, making him a product of the marauding colonial culture of Western capitalism and the enemy of toilers. From 1880-1910, the peak of German imperial colonialism was delayed somewhat. In the eyes of critics, Carl May accompanied German colonial politics with his literature. With the help of his adventure novels and travelogues, he supported not only colonial politics but also the ideology, economy, and expansionism of the colonists, because they could not organize thousands of young forces to send to colonized countries without the literary and cultural support of Karl Mae.

Since Karl's book was published, 100 years have passed. The book left behind a conception of Kurds in Central Europe that the land of tribal wars is bloody, betrayal and superstition, and at the same time naïve honesties, and joys and pranks. As Žižek has pointed out, the other portrayed barbarism, in front of Western civilization. The book and its author, should be interpreted in a more general context, exemplifies the policies of Western countries in the Middle East and the Sykes-Picot agreement and the betrayal of the Kurds in 1975 and the 1973, film *The Exorcist*. More importantly, along with the policies of Sykes-Picot, there is no independent Kurdology in any Western country, and Kurdology is always part of Iranology, Islamology, and Turkology.

Why has Kurdistan not only been neglected by the West, but also, has always faced western countries' betrayals in cooperation with the dominant tribes/State of the Kurds? Its simple answer could be economic benefits, including oil, the power and authority of the ruling Governments of Kurdistan for the West and its absence in Kurdistan. Nonetheless, if the reasons are purely benefits, they can stay neutral. While as we observe it, they treated Kurds like the Other and anti-value. Therefore, economic, and strategic reasons are not enough for it. As I mentioned, the pluralistic and dispersed Kurdish order, with its various languages and religions, and the instinct to live free of the Kurds, could not be in accordance with their policies in the region. defining Kurdistan as barbarian, Like the definition of Kurdistan in Islamic times to jinn and Satan, is due to the consensus order and the plurality of Kurds and the lack of submission and obedience to their system of domination and symbolic order of them. At the same time as Carl May and after him, German orientalists from Noldeke to Herzfeld and Bertold Spuler, made numerous attempts to build the Iranian nation, on the contrary, they wrote a lot of negative things about Kurdish history. For instance, Spuler in his book, *The History of Iran*

in the *Early Centuries of Islam*, wrote about the dangers of the Kurdish government. He expressed glad of the failure of Kurdish government. If the government had not formed, how did he know it would be terrible? Western orientalist such as racist Gubino and Noldeke, when the ideology of the Aryan race was at its peak, built the illusions of great Iran and the human rights of Cyrus, the Zoroastrian Enlightenment. At the same time, as Jan. Mar mentioned, the Kurds were forgotten in the science of modern historiography. The result of this amnesia is seen in Sykes-Picot agreement and their betrayals of Kurdish Political movements. The last of them was US betrayal and German silence in countering Turkey's invasion of «Rojava» and before that to «Bashur» in the referendum case. Turkey, in attacking the Rojava Confederation, like Karl May, dubbed the Kurds as a terrorist, and Iran, in attacking southern Kurdistan, accusation them to second Israel.

As we can see, Turks, Arabs, and Fars, even though they have controlled the monopoly of the modern state for more than 100 years, are unable to realize democracy and women's freedom. Iran, after 70 years of modern government investment in civilizing the nation, leads to Islamic Revolution. While in Iraqi Kurdistan (Bashur), was able to create a system of democracy, and it was the only place in the Middle East with free elections, but radical Islamist groups were unable to win elections. And more importantly, in western Kurdistan (Rojava), we see the realization of the best kind of democracy at utopia level. Today, if people like Zizek and Chomsky support Kurdistan, it is the result that they are not foreign policy agents like Henry Corbin, Gubino and Karl May, rather, they are free-thinking philosophers. Now, if Sykes-Picot hadn't happened and the Kurds had their government, perhaps now the Middle East would be something else, and instead of prejudice, plunder and enslavement of women, there would be hope for civilization and freedom and pluralism. Because Kurdish life is plural and nonreligious, it has nothing to do with intellectualism. What others think of, like Faust Goethe, Kurdish has lived with it, which is why it has always been described as Satan and infidel. Kurd is the Other<sup>1</sup>.

As a result, who said it, in what context it is expressed and why it said, it's more important than what he said. Hitler also said a lot about Jews, and Russia says a lot about Ukraine today. Carl May's remarks are in the same context too. Nazism proves which nation is wild.

Nazism was an abstraction of Germans character not merely an ideology or be limited to some governor people like SS. Also, it is not that what Hannah Arendt, Erik Fromm, or Adorno have said, have roots in the general crises of western rationality or Capitalism crisis, because why does it just happen in Germany not in England and France? If it is a general crisis, it must happen generally. It is rooted in German character and its history, from the Herder and Storm movement to Nietzsche, Heidegger, Bismarck, and Hitler. Nazism only abstracted it as state ideology, but it was not built by Hitler. This is the destiny of the Germans character and nation. The German tormented psych, in evading responsibility, put the responsibility on the shoulder of general issues. because it's hard to take responsibility for such barbaric acts. Acts like that have happened many times for Kurdish people in the last 100 years because of the cooperation of Sykes-Picot operating countries with single-ethnic Arab and Turkish and Fars State: such as 'Halabja' and 'Anfal', and the formation of the modern government in Iran and Turkey which that grew with the blood of the Kurds. Only difference is we couldn't make a movie and a novel out of it Like the Jews, for example, Steven Spielberg. According to Hegel, based on Jean Hippolyte's interpretation, like Abraham's displacement, that has marked the destiny of the Jewish nation, every nation has a personality and an identity that becomes its destiny, and it will not be erased despite all the historical developments. This is German destiny and is beyond goodness and badness. Just as being pluralist and rebellion is the fate of the Kurdish nation .

Finally, I must point out that the conflict between Nazism and democracy, which has become a moral aspect in Germany, has absolutely nothing to do with morality and human rights. Rather, it is a bigger contrast between the political rationality of the state and the instincts of patriarchy and tribe. The notion which the Nazism's supporters have from the state, is an abstraction of the father's imagination, who must defend family and tribe against others. As a result, their conception of the nation is also rooted in the imagination of the family and the tribe but those like Angela Merkel and Habermas, who are trying to transition from Nazism thinking, are trying to transition from tribe – race model, to state model as representatives of the public interest. This contradiction reminds us of the Antigone and Creon conflict that the Antigone symbol of family and blood and Creon is trying to transition to state law. This means that the Germans have not yet reached political maturity and are thinking about blood, race, and tribe. Today, in parliament and even in German cinema, conflict has found a moral and human rights aspect that I must say they have not understood the issue. Angela Merkel had realized that Germany is the great economic power and the centre of Europe which would inevitably need a transition to a transnational and pluralistic state so that it could play its international role. So, neither Angela Merkel was

<sup>1</sup> <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=0VwkedkAAAAJ&hl=en>

[https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=3711797](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3711797) [3932421560-https://www.elivapress.com/pl/authors/author/](https://www.elivapress.com/pl/authors/author/)

<https://independent.academia.edu/ZakariaQaderi>



moral or human rights, nor her opponents are anti-moral. For this reason, the Germans, who worship the law, ignore the equality of human beings in accordance with the law, because they are unable to uphold the principle of equality of the law, given the tribal understanding and the family they have of the nation. The AFD and Alice Weidel, have not understood anything about politics, that she addressed to Angela Merkel said, *do politics instead of morality*. Angela Merkel, accepting refugees, did politics, not ethics. Alice Weidel and her party are still unmatured children who need a father. Parliament's moral condemnations of each other also show that politicians have not understood anything from politics either.

### 3. Conclusion

As I mentioned, national character is an important factor in analyzing political developments, moreover, this national character, although it may not have been once, will remain beyond all the ideological and economic changes. Marxist analyses focus on economic and social structures as well as liberal analysis, even if they focus on human beings, considered the general human nature, not the national character. The national character, once formed for unknown reasons, determines the fate of that nation. Each nation has its character and personality, and politics and government are manifestations of national character. Russia, for example, has seen many economic and ideological changes. But beyond all these changes, From Tsarism to communism and democracy today, it is Russia's national character that tries to invade and seize neighbors and has maintained its national character. Contrary to Olaf Schultz, this is not Putin's war, it is the war of the Russian people. Putin became a national hero in the invasion of Ukraine. As Erdogan became a national hero in his attack on Rojava, even Turks living in Germany for three generations supported him. Russia, Turkey, and the Arabs produce Putin, Erdogan, and ISIS, not the other way around. The people are responsible for the governments and the governments are the manifestation of the national spirit and personality. What happened after the so-called Arab Spring?

Hitler did not produce Nazism; it was Germany that produced Nazism and Hitler. The character of German Nazism has not disappeared, but only it has been controlled because of international shame and fear of punishment and the rule of law. As I pointed out, democracy in Germany today towards foreigners is just a small island of self-awareness and the subconscious ocean of Nazism and xenophobia will not remain calm forever. It will reappear in times of crisis. Social and economic crises and political factors cause it to be transferred from potential to actual. In World War II, the economic crisis and humiliation caused by World War I, led to the manifestation of the German character, as the welfare and political system of today suppress and control it.

The reason why the Kurds have never been able to achieve independence and statehood is that they are under the illusion of achieving democracy by changing governments. They believe that, for instance, with the change of the Islamic Republic, Iran will become democratic While from Reza Khan to Khamenei, the national character of Persia has produced them and with the change of government, the national character of Persia will not change. Only the ideology and justifications of national domination will change. Rulers and ideologies will change from Ataturk to Erdogan, from Abdul Karim Qassim to Saddam, and Haider Abadi, from the Tsar to Putin, however, the national character will remain dominant. Rojava's democratic and moral system is a manifestation of Kurdish national character, not a fabrication of Ocalan's mentality Which other nations in the Middle East are unable to achieve. Nevertheless, as in the past, with the cooperation of Arabs, Turks, and Persia, it will disappear. The Kurdish national character unlike the Germans character is moral and respects foreigners more than themselves. The Kurds will remain deprived until politics reaches the moral stage Because the logic of politics is different from the logic of ethics. They still do not understand that politics is not a place to keep promises and morals.